# **Embedding-Based Methods**

### **Non-Linear Manifolds**

- As we have seen, manifolds are not generally linear
  - E.g., two features can vary together, but not linearly
- Manifolds can also loop back onto themselves
  - E.g., two features that do not have a one-to-one relationship

## **Non-Linear Manifolds**

- PCA: linear manifolds only
  - Construct a global model of the manifold
- Kernel PCA: can express non-linearities
  - Simple case: representation of the manifold is a global model
  - Kernel trick: captures the model in terms of a weighted sum over the training set samples
- Can also take a sample-based approach in the original space!

## **Locally Linear Embedding**

Training set in N-dimensional feature space

- 1. Measure distance between each pair of training set samples
  - For each sample, identify the closest neighbors
  - Create a local linear model for just that point
- 2. Place corresponding points in a new M-dimensional space:
  - Select these points, so that the local linear models are still respected

## Phase 1: Build Local Models

- Use Euclidean distance metric to identify the k nearest neighbors for each point
  - Generally, these nearest neighbors define a local manifold
  - The dimensionality of this local neighborhood is at most k-1
- For each point, identify a weighted sum of the neighbors that predicts the location of that point

# Locally Linear Embedding: Embedding

## **LLE: Embedding Phase**

- Each Xi has a corresponding Zi in an M-dimensional space
- Pick the location of the Zi's that respect the neighborhood models that we learned in the previous step
  - These are the weights that we have already determined

# **LLE Query**

- Given: a new query point, Xq
- Determine the neighborhood (Nq)
- Compute weights that reconstruct Xq from the Nq points
- Compute Zq

# **Example: Locally Linear Embedding**

,


## **Euclidean Distance Metric**

- Easy to compute
- In many data sets, it is not trivial or appropriate to compare samples in this way:
  - Different features have different units and different scales
  - For some representations, we can't simply take a difference between two values (e.g., angles)

## **Color Perception**

How well does a human distinguish colors?

- Are two colors different?
- If so, by how much?



Useful for situations where:

- We want to use different (non-Euclidean) distance metrics
- We can't measure the features, but can measure the distances
- We only have a vague sense of similarity or dissimilarity (but not a metric one)

Algorithm outline

- Given: all pair-wise distances between samples
- Embed a set of points into M dimensions that respect these differences

Notes

- The MDS cost function is a global metric
- All pair-wise distances must be respected (not just the nearest neighbors)

# **Example: Multidimensional Scaling**

Both data sets:

- Swiss roll
- Arrow

# **Geodesic Distance**

### **Geodesic Distance**

- Euclidean distance: not always meaningful in high-dimensional spaces
- Here, assume that Euclidean distance is only meaningful for short distances
- Use this neighborhood relation to define a weighted graph structure among the k-nearest neighbors
- Geodesic distance between all pairs of points: shortest distance in this graph



## ISOmap

- Compute geodesic distance for each pair of points in the training set
- Use multi-dimensional scaling to embed corresponding points into a new space
- Advantage over Euclidean distance: points that are somewhat near in Euclidean space, but are far away in geodesic distance are considered far away from one-another

# t-Stochastic Neighbor Embedding

## t-Stochastic Neighbor Embedding

Similarity metric in the original space:

- For a given sample: the probability of selecting one of the other samples from the training set to be its neighbor
- Gaussian distribution: highest similarity when the two samples are the same & drops off as they move apart Embedded space:
- Select Zi's so that the probability distributions are the same across the two spaces

### t-SNE

- Use of the probability distribution emphasizes nearest points and treats all far points the same
- PDs really emphasize clusters of points
- Perplexity hyper-parameter:
  - Higher values: include more neighbors in the computation
  - Gives us smoother functions
- No good way to query after the fact:
  - Hence, this is often used for visualization of the given data set

# **Example: T-SNE**

# **Dimensionality Reduction: Final Thoughts**

### **Dimensionality Reduction Methods**

- Global methods: PCA and (sort of) Kernel PCA
- Local models: LLE, MDS, ISOmap, tSNE
  - And, with the kernel trick, Kernel PCA

## **Dimensionality Reduction Methods**

- PCA: first thing to try
- LLE:
  - Capture local manifold, but ignore larger structure
- MDS:
  - Allows us to use any distance metric that we want
  - We don't even need to have a feature-based representation of the samples

## **Embedding Methods**

- ISOmap:
  - Very curved manifolds, especially those that loop back onto themselves
- t-SNE:
  - Looking for pockets (clusters) of samples
  - Most often used for visualization purposes

## **Dimensionality Reduction Uses**

- Visualization: give domain experts and data analysis practitioners a better understanding of the geometry of the feature space
- Preprocessing for other methods
  - By unwarping curved manifolds, linear models potentially become viable again
  - By reducing dimensionality, we have less of an opportunity to overfit the data